



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 10/573,299 | 01/08/2007 | Irving I. Dardik | 061179.020200 | 9560 |
| 22191 7590 07/21/2009 GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP 2101 L Street, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20037 | | | EXAMINER WHITTINGTON, KENNETH | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2858 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE 07/21/2009 | DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dcpatdkt@gtlaw.com
andersonn@gtlaw.com

| | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | | Application No. 10/573,299 | Applicant(s) DARDIK ET AL. |
| | | Examiner KENNETH J. WHITTINGTON | Art Unit 2858 |

All Participants:

(1) KENNETH J. WHITTINGTON.

(2) Manuel A. Cordovez (Req. 58480).

Date of Interview: 29 June 2009

Status of Application: abandoned

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Cordovez was telephoned regarding the status of the application since more than six months has passed since the mailing of an Office Action, mail date 12/17/2008. Mr. Cordovez stated the application was abandoned and that no response was filed.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kenneth J Whittington/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2858

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)